



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

**Non-Precedent Decision of the
Administrative Appeals Office**

In Re: 13311439

Date: APR. 12, 2022

Appeal of Providence, Rhode Island Field Office Decision

Form I-212, Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission

The Applicant seeks permission to reapply for admission to the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii), because he will be inadmissible upon departing from the United States for having been previously ordered removed. Permission to reapply for admission to the United States is an exception to this inadmissibility, which U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) may grant in the exercise of discretion.

The Director of the Providence, Rhode Island Field Office denied the application, concluding that the Applicant would become inadmissible under section 212(a)(6)(B) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(B), for failing to attend removal proceedings without reasonable cause, and there is no waiver for this ground of inadmissibility. The matter is now before us on appeal.

In these proceedings, it is the Applicant's burden to establish eligibility for the requested benefit. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Upon *de novo* review, we will dismiss the appeal.

I. LAW

Section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(ii), provides that any noncitizen, other than an arriving alien described in section 212(a)(9)(A)(i), who has been ordered removed or departed the United States while an order of removal was outstanding, and who seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such departure or removal (or within 20 years of such date in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible. Noncitizens found inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(A) of the Act may seek permission to reapply for admission under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) if, prior to the date of the reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be admitted from foreign continuous territory, the Secretary of Homeland Security has consented to the noncitizen's reapplying for admission.

Approval of an application for permission to reapply is discretionary, and any unfavorable factors will be weighed against the favorable factors to determine if approval of the application is warranted as a matter of discretion. *Matter of Lee*, 17 I&N Dec. 275, 278-79 (Reg'l Comm'r 1978). Factors to be considered in determining whether to grant permission to reapply include the basis for the prior

deportation; the recency of deportation; length of residence in the United States; the applicant's moral character; the applicant's respect for law and order; evidence of the applicant's reformation and rehabilitation; family responsibilities; any inadmissibility under other sections of law; hardship involved to the applicant or others; and the need for the applicant's services in the United States. *Matter of Tin*, 14 I&N Dec. 371 (Reg'l Comm'r 1973).

Any noncitizen who, without reasonable cause, fails to attend or remain in attendance at a proceeding to determine the noncitizen's inadmissibility or deportability and who seeks admission to the United States within five years of such noncitizen's subsequent departure or removal is inadmissible. Section 212(a)(6)(B) of the Act. There is no waiver for this inadmissibility.

II. ANALYSIS

The record indicates that the Applicant will become inadmissible upon departing the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii) of the Act for having been previously ordered removed. The issue raised on appeal is whether the Applicant should be granted conditional approval of his Form I-212, Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States After Deportation or Removal, in the exercise of discretion.

The Applicant entered the United States without inspection on or about [] 2005. He was subsequently apprehended by immigration officials and served a Notice to Appear. The Applicant did not attend his removal hearing on [] 2005, and was ordered removed by an immigration judge *in absentia* on that date. The Applicant has remained in the United States, and upon his departure, he will become inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii) of the Act for having been previously ordered removed. The Applicant is seeking conditional approval of his application under the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 212.2(j) before departing the United States to apply for an immigrant visa. The approval of the Form I-212 under these circumstances is conditioned upon the Applicant's departure from the United States and would have no effect if he fails to depart.

We find the record supports the Director's determination that the Applicant would become inadmissible under section 212(a)(6)(B) of the Act for failing to attend removal proceedings without reasonable cause, and there is no waiver for this ground of inadmissibility. There is no statutory definition of the term "reasonable cause" as it is used in section 212(a)(6)(B) of the Act, but guiding USCIS policy provides that "it is something not within the reasonable control of the alien."¹ Here, the Applicant does not assert that he had reasonable cause for missing his immigration hearing. Further, the record reflects that the Applicant was personally served with a Notice to Appear, including oral notice in the Portuguese language, which provided the date and time of his removal hearing and the consequences if he failed to appear for his hearing.

An application for permission to reapply for admission is denied, in the exercise of discretion, to a noncitizen who is mandatorily inadmissible to the United States under another section of the Act. *Matter of Martinez-Torres*, 10 I&N Dec. 776 (Reg'l Comm'r 1964). Approving the Form I-212 would

¹ Memorandum from Lori Scialabba, Associate Director for Refugee, Asylum & International Operations Directorate, et al., USCIS, HQ 70/21.1 AD07-18, *Section 212(a)(6) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, Illegal Entrants and Immigration Violators. Revisions to the Adjudicator's Field Manual (AFM) to Include a New Chapter 40.6* (AFM Update AD07-18)(Mar. 3, 2009).

serve no purpose as the Applicant would remain inadmissible under section 212(a)(6)(B) of the Act for a period of five years. As the Applicant will become inadmissible upon his departure under section 212(a)(6)(B) of the Act, and there is no waiver available for this ground of inadmissibility, his application for permission to reapply for admission will remain denied as a matter of discretion.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.